

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Muz 320-24-1-3

1924.
(Muzone)

File No. 320-24

Serial Nos. 4-5

Later References
Muz 286-29-1-2
65-30-1-2

Besmer
⑧

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for June 1929

SUBJECT

Reconstruction of the Sri Rameshwara
Swamy temple at Herbi on the old site

G.O. No 2026-8
dated 26.6.29

Is the Muz. comr.
comptroller
Ch. Insp.

Sanctioned

[Signature]

Serial
No.

4

Letter no 1818 dated the 4th/5th June 1929 from the Muzrai Commr in Mysore requesting sanction to the reconstruction of the Sri Rameswara Sree temple at Subbi on the old site as desired by the devotees and the Bhaskarappa Sree Sambalinga Setty, who has contributed for the reconstruction of the building.

to be sanctioned subd for appl or other orders.

CB,

13/6/29.

2 The Muzrai Commr

may be sanctioned subd

in a report of the Muzrai Commr in Mysore.

1st member

19/6/29

3 member

19/6/29

4 member

5

To. The Muzrai Commr.


" Chief Engineer

" Commr.

182826-8 Muzrai - 6-29.

Sanctions. The work should be done at

Cost of Rs. 1000/-



B
11/7/29

B
11/7/29

(622)
M. C. 13]


2
(OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....4th June..... 1929.

No. 1818 Encl 7 file
28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~Muzrai~~ Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



6. 1888

19 1888

Muz C: 20-24-4

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No.2238-40/Muz.320-24-3 -- dated 6th June 1928 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.9390/- for ----- reconstructing the Sri Ramalingeswaraswamy temple at Gubbi, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.E.C.13455-7/2033 -- dated 6th May 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District --- requesting orders to rebuild the temple on the site on which the old temple stood. The devotees want the temple to be built on the old site alone; the Dharmakartha Mr. Sambhuling Setty who has ~~contributed~~ contributed for the reconstruction of the building wanted the temple to be built on a site gifted by him and he has now changed his mind and is agreeable to have the temple rebuilt on the old site as ---- desired by the other devotees. The Public Works Department who --- were consulted in the matter are also of opinion that it would be -- cheaper to rebuild it on the old site alone as it would save the cost of transmission of materials to the new site. The new site is -- further reported to be not suited owing to its situation in the -- midst of houses of Mahomadians and other caste people.

In these circumstances, I request that permission may kindly be granted to rebuild the temple on the old site as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner. This does not materially affect the ---- estimate.

The connected file received with the Deputy Commissioner's --


4

Letter No.F.E.13455-7 2033/ dated 6th May 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.D2.Dis.275/27-28 dated the 1st June 1928, sanctioning an estimate for Rs.9390/- for the reconstruction of Sri Ramalingeswaraswamy temple at Gubbi, I have the honor to state that petitions having been presented by the residents of Gubbi town -- both to your office (vide Petn.No.D2 Dis.736/28-29 dated 24-12-1928 -- forwarded to this office and enclosed in the records) as well as to me complaining against the construction of the temple in the ^{new} ~~new~~ site proposed, I requested the Sub Division Officer, Gubbi, to report in the matter, if necessary, after consulting the matter with the F.W.D. Assistant Engineer. The petitioners' contention is that the new site on which the temple is now decided to be built is quite unfit in as much as Mohamedans and people of other caste are residing round about the locality and further in the land lying to the south of the temple, people commit nuisance etc., The old site in which the temple now -- stands has high roads on its 2 sides and the soil of the foundation is stated to be better than that of the site now proposed. The Dharma-kartha of the temple Mr. Shambhulinga Setty is also agreeable for the ~~temple~~ temple being reconstructed in the old site only. The Assistant Engineer, who was consulted in the matter, says that there will be some savings as the necessity for the removal of the Dhvajastambha and bull temple will not exist. I too agree with this now proposal and request you to kindly accord sanction for the construction of the temple on the old site only on receipt of which orders I will call for an estimate from the Executive Engineer.

The entire correspondence on the subject is herewith sent for -- reference and kind return.

"C o p y"



For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government Order No. 2238-40/Hyz.330-24-3 dated the 6th June 1928 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 9500/- for the reconstruction of the Sri Ramalingaswarammai temple at Cubbi, the charge being met from the contribution made for the purpose by Mr. Sambulinga Setty, Dharmakartha of the temple.

2. Letter No. 1618 of 1928-29 dated the 4th/5th June 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore requesting sanction to the reconstruction of the temple referred to in the Government order read above on the old site on which the temple formerly stood, as desired by the Devotees, Mr Sambulinga Setty, who has contributed for the reconstruction of the building and the local Officers, instead of on a new site as originally sanctioned in the Government order read above.

Order No. 2826-8/Hyz 320-24-5

Dated, Bangalore, the 24th June 1929.

26th

S a n c t i o n e d . The work should be executed and completed early.

E. L. an ap. ak - hy
for Secretary to Government,
Hyd Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore returning reports.

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1888

General and revenue Secretariat

the (Muz) 192

Date of issue.....

26/6/28

Signed by.....

H. B. V. Ganapathi

X

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Section 211
File No. 320-24
Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Order No 2238-40/
Muz 320-24-3 dated
the 6th June 1928
sanctioning an est.
for Rs 9390/- for
the reconstruction
of the Sri Rameshwara
temple at Gubbi the
charge being met
from the contribution
made for the purpose
by Mrs Sankalinga
Setty, Dharmakurthi

Ab
28
3/7

of the temple.

(2) Letter No 1818/28-9
dated the 14th/5th
June 1929 from the
Muzrai Commission
to Mysore requesting
sanction to the re-
construction of the
temple referred to in the
G.O. read above
on the old site on
which the temple formerly
stood, as desired
by the Devotees, Mr
Sambalinga Setty, the
who has contributed
for the reconstruction
of the building and
the local officers,
instead of on a
new site as originally
proposed sanctioned
in the G.O. read above.

Last of enclosure.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5-27

CRD

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Enc one file M.C

Order No 2826-8.

Sanctioned

The work should
be ^{started} ^{early} ~~started~~ ~~and~~ completed
very early

Mr.

20/6/29

19/6/29

W.D. 19/6/29

To The Inspector General
returning records.
The Chief Engineer
The Comptroller to
Government

Cf,

13/6/29

W.D.

8 page